

Appendix S

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN EACH PARTICIPATING CITY, VILLAGE, AND TOWN IN OZAUKEE COUNTY

Table S-1

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY OF MEQUON: 2006^a

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family		Other			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0	0.0
Poor/Very Poor	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	6	0.07
Average	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	979	12.0
Fair	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	78	1.0
Good	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	4,418	54.0
Very Good/Excellent	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2,694	33.0
Total	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	8,175	100.0

^aHousing units in the City of Mequon were not provided by structure type.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

Source: City of Mequon and SEWRPC.

Table S-2

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE CITY OF PORT WASHINGTON: 2006

Score ^c	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other			
	Number	Percent ^d	Number ^e	Percent ^d	Number ^f	Percent ^d	Number ^e	Percent ^d	Number	Percent ^d
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	11	0.3	1	-- ^g	1	-- ^g	--	--	13	0.4
Average	1,958	56.2	207	6.0	410	11.8	215	6.2	2,790	80.1
Fair	86	2.5	47	1.3	--	--	2	0.1	135	3.9
Good	426	12.2	34	1.0	1	-- ^g	4	0.1	465	13.3
Very Good/Excellent	64	1.9	4	0.1	6	0.2	5	0.1	79	2.3
Total	2,545	73.1	293	8.4	418	12.0	226	6.5	3,482	100.0

^aThe multi-family category includes condominiums and three-unit buildings.

^bThe other category includes buildings with four or more units and commercial buildings with an accessory housing unit.

^cThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^dPercent of total housing units.

^eNumber refers to the main building, not individual units.

^fNumber refers to individual housing units for condominiums and to the main building for three-unit buildings.

^gLess than 0.05 percent.

Source: Matthies Assessments Inc., and SEWRPC.

Table S-3

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF BELGIUM: 2006

Score	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family		Other			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Average	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Fair	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Good	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Very Good/Excellent	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Note: Data was not received from the Village of Belgium.

Source: SEWRPC.

Table S-4

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF FREDONIA: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	--	--	1	0.2	--	--	2	0.3	3	0.5
Average	482	75.3	24	3.7	--	--	29	4.5	535	83.5
Fair	10	1.6	2	0.3	1	0.2	--	--	13	2.1
Good	73	11.4	4	0.6	--	--	3	0.5	80	12.5
Very Good/Excellent	8	1.2	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	9	1.4
Total	573	89.5	32	5.0	1	0.2	34	5.3	640	100.0

^aMulti-family are three-unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-5

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF GRAFTON: 2006

Score ^c	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^b			
	Number	Percent ^d	Number ^e	Percent ^d	Number ^f	Percent ^d	Number ^e	Percent ^d	Number	Percent ^d
Unsound	3	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	3	0.1
Poor/Very Poor	2	-- ^g	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	-- ^g
Average	2,283	63.8	126	3.5	720	20.1	48	1.3	3,177	88.8
Fair	50	1.4	13	0.4	--	--	--	--	63	1.8
Good	278	7.8	18	0.5	--	--	4	0.1	300	8.4
Very Good/Excellent	31	0.9	1	-- ^g	--	--	--	--	32	0.9
Total	2,647	74.0	158	4.4	720	20.1	52	1.4	3,577	100.0

^aThe multi-family category includes condominiums and three-unit buildings.

^bThe other category includes buildings with four or more units and commercial buildings with an accessory housing unit.

^cThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^dPercent of total housing units.

^eNumber refers to the main building, not individual units.

^fNumber refers to individual housing units for condominiums and to the main building for three-unit buildings.

^gLess than 0.05 percent.

Source: Matthies Assessments Inc., and SEWRPC.

Table S-6

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF NEWBURG: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	5	1.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	5	1.4
Average	211	57.8	59	16.2	2	0.5	38	10.4	310	84.9
Fair	2	0.5	1	0.3	--	--	3	0.8	6	1.6
Good	27	7.4	1	0.3	--	--	1	0.3	29	8.0
Very Good/Excellent	13	3.6	2	0.5	--	--	--	--	15	4.1
Total	258	70.7	63	17.3	2	0.5	42	11.5	365	100.0

^aMulti-family are three-unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotta Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-7

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF SAUKVILLE: 2006^a

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family		Other			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	6	0.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	6	0.3
Average	1,452	75.0	237	12.2	--	--	--	--	1,689	87.2
Fair	125	6.5	4	0.2	--	--	--	--	129	6.7
Good	106	5.5	5	0.3	--	--	--	--	111	5.7
Very Good/Excellent	1	0.05	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.05
Total	1,690	87.3	246	12.7	--	--	--	--	1,936	100.0

^aThe assessor has categorized all housing units in the Village as single-family or two-family.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

Source: Accurate Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-8

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE VILLAGE OF THIENSVILLE: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	5	0.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	5	0.4
Average	627	52.5	12	1.0	--	--	264	22.1	903	75.6
Fair	35	2.9	2	0.2	--	--	2	0.2	39	3.3
Good	167	14.0	9	0.7	1	0.1	31	2.6	208	17.4
Very Good/Excellent	31	2.6	--	--	--	--	8	0.7	39	3.3
Total	865	72.4	23	1.9	1	0.1	305	25.6	1,194	100.0

^aMulti-family are three-unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grota Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-9

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF BELGIUM: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	7	1.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	7	1.1
Average	447	68.0	11	1.7	2	0.3	4	0.6	464	70.6
Fair	25	3.8	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	26	4.0
Good	125	19.0	3	0.4	--	--	2	0.3	130	19.7
Very Good/Excellent	29	4.4	--	--	--	--	1	0.2	30	4.6
Total	633	96.3	15	2.3	2	0.3	7	1.1	657	100.0

^aMulti-family are three-unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-10

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF CEDARBURG: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	3	0.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	3	0.1
Poor/Very Poor	4	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	4	0.2
Average	1,843	88.6	12	0.6	1	-- ^e	11	0.5	1,867	89.8
Fair	29	1.4	1	-- ^e	--	--	--	--	30	1.4
Good	118	5.7	5	0.2	1	-- ^e	2	0.1	126	6.1
Very Good/Excellent	47	2.3	1	-- ^e	--	--	2	0.1	50	2.4
Total	2,044	98.3	19	0.9	2	0.1	15	0.7	2,080	100.0

^aMulti-family are three-unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

^eLess than 0.05 percent.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-11

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF FREDONIA: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	4	0.5	1	0.1	--	--	--	--	5	0.6
Average	543	69.1	7	0.9	3	0.4	15	1.9	568	72.3
Fair	27	3.4	3	0.4	--	--	3	0.4	33	4.2
Good	137	17.4	6	0.8	--	--	--	--	143	18.2
Very Good/Excellent	35	4.5	1	0.1	--	--	1	0.1	37	4.7
Total	746	94.9	18	2.3	3	0.4	19	2.4	786	100.0

^aMulti-family are three-unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-12

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF GRAFTON: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Poor/Very Poor	7	0.5	1	0.1	--	--	--	--	8	0.5
Average	1,187	80.1	26	1.7	--	--	14	1.0	1,227	82.8
Fair	47	3.2	1	0.1	--	--	2	0.1	50	3.4
Good	148	10.0	7	0.5	--	--	5	0.3	160	10.8
Very Good/Excellent	35	2.4	1	0.1	--	--	--	--	36	2.5
Total	1,424	96.2	36	2.4	--	--	21	1.4	1,481	100.0

^aMulti-family are three-unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Grotz Appraisals and SEWRPC.

Table S-13

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF PORT WASHINGTON: 2006

Score ^b	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family ^a		Other ^a			
	Number	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number ^d	Percent ^c	Number	Percent ^c
Unsound	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.2
Poor/Very Poor	2	0.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.3
Average	415	69.0	30	5.0	1	0.2	--	--	446	74.2
Fair	19	3.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	19	3.2
Good	132	21.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	132	21.9
Very Good/Excellent	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.2
Total	570	94.8	30	5.0	1	0.2	--	--	601	100.0

^aMulti-family are three-unit buildings and other are buildings with four or more units.

^bThe housing condition scores are defined on page 348 of Chapter IX.

^cPercent of total housing units.

^dNumber refers to the main building, not individual housing units.

Source: Magnan Assessment Services and SEWRPC.

Table S-14

HOUSING CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF SAUKVILLE: 2006

Score	Housing Type								Total	
	Single-Family		Two-Family		Multi-Family		Other			
	Number	Percent ^a	Number	Percent ^a	Number	Percent ^a	Number	Percent ^a	Number	Percent ^a
Unsound	2	0.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.3
Poor/Very Poor	10	1.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	10	1.4
Average	51	7.3	2	0.3	--	--	--	--	53	7.6
Fair	238	34.3	3	0.4	--	--	--	--	241	34.8
Good	269	38.8	1	0.2	--	--	--	--	270	38.9
Very Good/Excellent	118	17.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	118	17.0
Total	688	99.1	6	0.9	0	0	0	0	694	100.0

^aPercent of total housing units.

Source: Town of Saukville and SEWRPC.